

Republic of the Philippines DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Region III

DIVISION OF CITY SCHOOLS

City of San Jose del Monte

website: www.depedcsjdm.webs.com / e-mail: deped csjdm@yahoo.com / telefax: (044) 815-2815

June 23, 2015

DEPED-CITY OF SANJE

DIVISION MEMORANDUM No. 95, s. 2015

To: Public Schools District Supervisors

Public Elementary and Secondary School Heads

ENHANCED SELECTION CRITERIA ON THE SEARCH FOR 2015 BRIGADA ESKWELA BEST SCHOOL IMPLEMENTERS

- Per DepEd Memorandum Nos. 41, s. 2015 and 41, s. 2014, this Office shall conduct the Search for 2015
 Brigada Eskwela Best Implementing Schools in three (3) categories, both Elementary and Secondary
 levels, namely, <u>small school category</u>, <u>big school category</u>, and <u>exceptional category</u>.
- 2. Attached are:
 - 2.1 Enclosure No. 1: Criteria on the 2015 Search for Brigada Eskwela Best Implementing Schools
 - 2.2 Enclosure No. 2: Brigada Eskwela On-Site Monitoring & Evaluation Tool, and,
 - 2.3 Enclosure No. 3: Checklist on DRRM & CCA Process and Tools to be used by the school to self assess its disaster readiness.
- 3. The top three Division finalists need to reach 60% in each category to be awarded as 2015 Best Brigada Eskwela Implementing Schools. The school, the school principal, and the Brigada Eskwela coordinator of the winning schools will be given certificates of recognition. In case of a tie, punctuality in submission will be the tie-breaking factor. On-site validation will be the last resort to break it.
- 4. The first placer in each category both in the Elementary and Secondary Levels- will be the Division entry to the Regional level competition.
- 5. Submission of required documents shall be on or before June 30, 2015.
- 6. For wide dissemination and compliance.

GERMELINA H. PASCUAL

Schools Division Superintendent

B.E:bbr:coa

Repair and maintenance work completed based on SIP particularly AIP focused only on physical facilities and maintenance operations/projects. Failure to incorporate in the submitted and book bind Brigada Eskwela 2015 Accomplishment Report will automatically merit the lowest 5 percentage point.

Percentage of (Computation	Percentage of Con	npletion
96-100%	40%	76-80%	20%
91-95%	35%	71-75%	15%
86-90%	30%	66-70%	10%
81-85%	25%	65 & below	5%

- 11. DIVERSE VOLUNTEER PARTICIPATION......30%
- 2.2.1. Private Sector Involvement (10%). NGO's, foundations, corporations, international organizations, private schools/universities mission and aid groups, etc. (10%)
 - 3-10% 2-6% 1-3%
- 2.2.2. Community Involvement (10%). Parents and other family members, officers and members of GPTA, alumni, nearby homeowners or community members, religious groups, etc. (10%)

5-10% 4-8% 3-6%

2-4%

1-2%

- 2.2.3. Government Agency Involvement (National Government & LGU's) (10%)
- a. Officials, members and employees from the President's Cabinet, Senate and Congress, City/Municipal/Provincial government and from barangay
- b. National Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Council member agencies (Bureau of Fire, DILG, CDRRM, etc.)(10%)

111

2-6%

1-3% GENERATED RESOURCES (Converted into peso worth)......20%

Small School	Big School	Exceptional School	Equivalent
P 75,000.00-199,000.00	P 200,000.00-499,999.00	P 500,000.00- above	20%
P 51,000.00-74,999.00	P 101,000.00-199,999.00	P 400,000.00-499,999.00	18%
P 26,000.00-59,999.00	P 76,000.00-100,999.00	P 300,000.00-399,999.00	15%
P 10 000 00-25 999 00	P 50.000.00-75.999.00	P 250,000.00-299,999.00	10%

ALIGNMENT OF THE MAINTENANCE EFFORT TO CURRENT THEME OF BRIGADA ESKWELA...10%

THEME: "Tayo Para sa Kalinisan, Kaligtasan at Kahandaan ng Ating mga Paaralan". SAFE- (6%)

- •1% Child Protection Policy Implementation & Seminar/s
- •1% Safe facilities are in place to address hazard threats (i.e. fire exits, fire extinguishers or fire retardant measures such as ready water buckets of water)
- •1% First Aid Kit/Emergency Kit
- •1% Structural Integrity (Infrastructure/building declared safe after inspection, duly certified by the School Physical Facilities Coordinator and/or SDO Engineer. In case, there is/are Issue/s or concern/s, the SPFC kindly make a brief description)
- 1% Conduct of drills (fire, earthquake, flood preparation, conference, training for the safety of pupils/students)

•1% Directory/Signages

CLEAN/GREEN - (4%)

- ●2% Green Landscape/Gulayan sa Paaralan/Hanging Plants and the likes
- •2% Clean comfort rooms

V. EXCEPTIONAL SCHOOL CATEGORY

(a school may qualify to be an exceptional school if four (4) of these special features are present)

SPECIAL FEATURES OF EXCEPTIONAL SCHOOL CATEGORY

- 1. The school has a total resources generated amounting to P500,000.00 or more than the said amount.
- 2. The diversity of volunteers has reached the maximum 30 percentage point.
- 3. Offers special programs like SSC, SPJ, SPS, SPA, DORP, SPED, Project IMPACT, DORP, and other special projects/programs initiated by the school.
- 4. Must be Level 2 SBM of level of practice.

ON-SITE MONITORING AND EVALUATION TOOL BRIGADA ESKWELA

Divisio	n:						
Schoo	l:						
	I Address:						
Schoo	y, care a						
Level	of School:	Type of School					
	☐ Elementary	☐ Central ☐ Non C		ool	□ Mu	lti Grade	☐ Primary
					September 1		
School	l Head:						*
Contac	ct Number& Email Add:						
II. DOD	tion: Put a check mark (/) 0 – nothing 1 – good	lysis, Observation and Discussion) next to the items if observed using 2 - very good 3 - excellent			or bel	ow.	
#		Indicators	3	2	1	0	Remarks
	LMENT PREPARATION						
A.1	Information Dissemination						
A.1.1	Streamers/Other Public A						PERIOD
A.1.2	Information Action Center						
A.1.3	Help Desk						
Advoca	1						
A.1.4	Hanging of streamers, tan containing the slogan for (paulins in conspicuous places Oplan Balik Eskwela					
A.1.5	Education caravan	•					
A.1.6	Media (print and broadcas	st)					
A.1.7	Community Immersion (ka	amustahan sa barangay)					
Rigid/St	rict Adherence to No Coll	ection Policy					
A.1.8		& supervision of divisions, districts,					
A.1.9	Media to drum beat the in	formation on no collection policy					
Family N	/lapping						
A.1.10	Conduct family mappying	by barangay and purok					
A.1.11	Analyze/interpret and utiliz	ze data					
Caring S	Stakeholders						
A.1.12	Network with stakeholders deserving and indigent pu	s for possible assistance to pils					
A.1.13		ted at the purok/barangay					
A.1.14		at the purok level/barangay					
Sharing	is Caring						
A.1.15	the more affluent pupils ar	shoes, and other materials from nd share it to less privileged pupils					
The second second second	OL LEADERSHIP						
B.1.1	School improvement Plan						
B.1.2	Annual Implementation Pl	an					
B.1.3	Annual Procurement Plan						
B.1.4	Supervisory Plan						
B.1.5	School Program			1			

B.1.6	Teacher's Load/Deployment	
B.1.7	Programs and Projects Work & Financial Plan	
B.1.8	Advocacy Plan	
B.1.9	Monitoring and Evaluation Plan	
B.1.10	Transparency Board – updated posting of expenditures	
C. TEAC		
C.1.1	Lesson Plan	
C.1.2	Instructional Support materials for Teachers	
C.1.3	Curriculum Guides	
C.1.4	Instructional Support Materials for Pupils/Students	
C.1.5	Class Record	
C.1.6	School Register Form	
C.1.7	Class Program	
C.1.8	Classroom Structuring based Form A.1 Classroom Structuring Monitoring Form	
D. LEAF	RNERS	
D.1.1	Attendance – Actual	
D.1.2	Enrolment	
D.1.3	Pupils/Students per Class	
F. PHYS	SICAL PLANT/FACILITIES	
F.1.1	Classroom	
F.1.2	Furniture/seat	
F.1.3	Water and Sanitation	
F.1.4	School ground	
F.1.5	School ID and Name of the School posted on the roof of the building	
F.1.6	Signage of "This School is a Zone of Peace" posted at the entrance of the school.	
F.1.7	DepEd vision and mission published	
	Total Points	

Descriptive Rating:		

Key to Rating Scale:

Range	Descriptive Rating	Interpretation
0-0.6	Needs Improvement (NI)	Many improvements needed – have rarely achieved established goals; requires significant and immediate improvement.
0.6-1.2	Fair (F)	Several improvements needed – have completed 1-5 items of the established goals.
1.2-1.8	Satisfactory (S)	Some improvements needed – have completed 5-10 items of the established goals.
1.8-2.4	Very Satisfactory (VS)	Few improvements needed – have completed 10-15 items of the established goals.
2.4-3	Outstanding (O)	Meets the standard requirements – have completed 15-20 items of the established goals.

Rater	
(Signature over Printed Name)	

- a. Presentation of the results of the Monitoring and Evaluation b. Provides Informed recommendations (Plan of Action) c. Agreements:

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLANNING PROCESS AND TOOLS Disaster Risk Reduction Management Operation

I. Guidelines on hazard/risk assessment:

A. Definition of Terms:

- 1. Hazard a source of potential harm, or a situation with a potential for causing harm, in terms of human injury, damage to health, properties, the environment and other things of value or a combination of these.
- 2. Hazard Probability (HP) refers to the frequency or likelihood of occurrence of certain disasters/hazards.
- 3. Capacity a combination of all strengths and resources available within a school or the community that benefits the school that can reduce the level of risk, or effects of a disaster. Capacity may include infrastructure and physical means, as well as human knowledge, skills and collective attributes such as social relationships, leadership and management.

This may include the presence of preparedness measures such as a preparedness plan and/or a back-up plan to ensure the school's operations continuity, conduct of evacuation drills, an early warning system, an organized and trained school disaster risk reduction committee, location of schools, poor design, construction and/or maintenance of facilities.

B. Rating Guidelines:

1. Hazard Probability (Rating: Either Yes or No)

Evaluate your school's hazard probability according to the hazards listed in column 1. Assessment should be based on historical data or existing hazard maps from LGUs and other concerned agencies.

a) Rate your school's hazard probability by ticking either the yes or no column.

2. Capacity (Rating: 1=lowest; 5=highest)

- a) Assess your school's capacity to mitigate or lessen the impact of these disasters. Factors that could be considered in evaluating your school are projects/programs related to:
 - a.1) Safe and Climate-adapted School Facilities (Access)
 - a.2) DRR and CCA education (i.e., training, drills, curriculum integration) and ensuring continuity of education during emergencies
 - a.3) School DRR and CCA Management (Governance) (i.e., organizing an active school DRRM Team with child participation, crafting of contingency/disaster preparedness plans)

The attached School Checklist on DRR and CCA may serve as your guide in assessing your school's capacity to address the possible impact of these hazards.

3. Risk Assessment Matrix

Hazards	Hazard Probability (HP) Rating (Yes or No)		Capacity Rating (1=lowest; 5=highest)
	YES	NO	
Earthquake*			
Cyclone *(Typhoon)			
Fire			
Flooding			
Tornado			
Landslide			
Drought			
Tsunami			
Volcanic eruption			
Security Threat (i.e armed conflict, lock down, etc)			
Health Threat (i.e., Dengue, Malaria)			
Other, Please Specify			

SCHOOL CHECKLIST ON DISASTER RISK REDUCTION (DRR) AND CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION (CCA)

ON-SITE MONITORING AND EVALUATION TOOL BRIGADA ESKWELA

Division:	
School:	
School Address:	
School ID:	
Level of School:	Type of School
☐ Elementary	☐ Central ☐ Non Central ☐ Multi Grade
☐ Secondary	☐ Primary ☐ Integrated School
School Head:	
Contact Number&	
Email Address:	

Rate your school according to the following criteria/factors. Refer to the 4^{th} column for the highest possible rating (HPR) per factor.

CRITERIA/FACTORS	YES	NO	HPR	RATING	REMARKS
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Access				
Conduct of school facilities inspection to assess				T	
the structural integrity of the school buildings.			2	1 . 1	
Applied mitigation measures for each specific					
hazard. (i.e elevated classrooms or footpath			2		
against flooding; fire exits. Strengthened					
structures to address earthquake impacts.)				1 1	
Safe facilities are in place to address each hazard				1 1	
threat (i.e fire exits, fire extinguishers or fire			2	1 1	
retardant measures such as ready water buckets			4		
of water or sand, appropriate electrical wirings,					
etc.).			2		
The school has an efficient early warning system.			2		
Identified and prepared alternative learning			2		
spaces in cases of emergencies.	•				
First aid kits are adequate and are readily-			1		
available.					
Qu	ality				
Involvement of schoolchildren in the organizing,			3		
planning and execution of the preparedness plan.					
Teachers, learners, parents and other key					
stakeholders are aware and familiar with the			3		
School Disaster Preparedness Plan, family reunification plan*					
Regular conduct of evacuation drills (Earthquake,			3	++	
Fire, Tsunami, Flooding) and lock down drill.					
Implementation of climate change mitigation					
programs such as tree planting [National Greening			2		
Program, school gardening (SIGA, School in a			-		
garden), waste reduction and management					
program (Ecosavers, WOW)]			 _ _ _ _ _ _	 	
Teachers are knowledgeable on the different hazards and risk reduction activities.			2		
Students are knowledgeable on the different		-	2	+	
hazards and risk reduction activities.			4		
DRR and CCA are integrated in actual classroom			2		And the state of t
instruction.					

Students have access to ADM materials for their use during emergencies.	2	
Teachers are knowledgeable in the usage of	2	
Alternative Delivery Modes (ADMs) and materials		
are on stand-by for ready use during emergencies.		
Teachers are knowledgeable in providing	2	
psycho-social support to children after a		
disaster.		
*In case of a school emergency or a natural disaster, the students. It is a plan for an orderly dismissal and a Governar	release of our stud	
The school has strong partnerships with external	ice	T
stakeholders in order to address the DRR and	2	
CCA related needs of the school. (i.e data and		
statistics, capacity building, resources, etc).		
Organized and capacitated School Disaster Risk		1
Reduction Committee (SDRRC) with the following		1 1
functions:	4	
Early Warning. Monitors any possible threat and	1	
provides early warning to the school.		
Search and Rescue. Coordinates search and		
rescue with authorities		
Rapid Disaster and Needs Assessment.		
Conducts damage assessment to personnel and learners and assess immediate and priority needs.		
Immediately submit reports.		
Evacuation. Leads evacuation planning, drills		1
and actual evacuation.		
Camp Evacuation. Manage activities as per		
designated tasks by the local disaster risk		
reduction management councils (LDRRMC) during		
usage of schools as an evacuation center.		
Educational Services. Plans and provides		
logistical support in ensuring continuity of		1 1
education during emergencies		
Medical Services. Provides necessary first aid during emergencies.		
Psycho-social support services. Coordinates and		
ensures sycho-social services are provided		1 1
during emergencies.		
Existing School Disaster Preparedness Plan		
Evacuation Plan	4	
Communication Plan		
Family Reunification Plan*		
Existing plan and stockpiles in cases when		
students and school personnel need to stay in	1	
school after school hours during emergencies		1.
(food, sleeping facilities, water, etc.)		<u> </u>
Existing School Disaster Preparedness Plan		
Evacuation Plan Communication Plan	4	
Family Reunification Plan*		
Existing plan and stockpiles in cases when		
students and school personnel need to stay in		
school after school hours during emergencies		
(food, sleeping facilities, water, etc.)		
Ensures protection of school records and practices	1	
a back-up system.		
Established Emergency Reunification Plan.		
Existing and accessible student emergency		
contact numbers, authorized guardians and		
protocols in releasing students during		
emergencies.		
Has an existing and practices an emergency	2	
ncident control system.		
TOTAL SCOPE		

TOTAL SCORE

SUMMARY SHEET

SCORE	REMARKS
	SCORE

RATING SCALE:

RANGE	ADJECTIVAL RATING
01-10	VERY LOW CAPACITY
11-20	LOW CAPACITY
21-30	MODERATE CAPACITY
31-40	HIGH CAPACITY
41-50	VERY HIGH CAPACITY